





Annual Program Report

— (Bachelor)

Program: B.S. Information Technology

Program Code (as per Saudi Standard Classification of Educational Levels

and Specializations): 061303

Qualification Level: Level 6, Bachelor's degree

Department: Information Technology

College:

College of Computing and Information Technology – Khulais and Alkamil

Institution: University of Jeddah

Academic Year: 2023-2024

Main Location: Khulais

Branches offering the program (if any):

Alkamil

Table of Contents

A. Program Statistics.....



| B. Program Assessment | 3 |
|--|----|
| 1. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment and analysis according to PLOs assessment plan * | 3 |
| 2. Evaluation of Courses | 7 |
| 3. Students Evaluation of Program Quality | 18 |
| 4. Scientific research and innovation during the reporting year | 19 |
| 5. Community Partnership | 20 |
| 6. Other Evaluation (if any) | 21 |
| C. Program Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) | 22 |
| D. Challenges and difficulties encountered by the program (if any) | 26 |
| E. Program development Plan | 28 |
| F. Approval of Annual Program Report | 29 |





A. Program Statistics

| ltem | Number Boys Girls |
|--|------------------------|
| Number of students enrolled in the program | 230+280 = 510 |
| Number of students who started the program (in reporting year) | 58 + 115 = 175 |
| Number of students who completed the program | 23 + 53 = 76 |

B. Program Assessment

1A. Program Learning Outcomes Assessment and analysis according to PLOs assessment plan *

| # | Program Learning Outcomes | Assessment Methods (Direct and Indirect) | Targeted Performance (%) | Assessment Results (Direct Indirect Average) |
|--------------|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| Knov | vledge and Understandi | ng | | |
| K1 | Acquire knowledge and understanding of computing and mathematics appropriate to the discipline including simulation and modelling. | Quizzes Short cognitive tests. Mid Terms Final Exams | 70% | 72.79% 77.21% 75.00% |
| K2 | Identify the best practices and standards and their applications in the field of Information Technology. | Quizzes Short cognitive tests. Mid Terms Final Exams | 70% | 73.22% 76.79% 75.01% |
| K3 | Identify user needs and take them into account in the selection, creation, evaluation and administration of computer-based systems. | Quizzes Short cognitive tests. Mid Terms Final Exams | 70% | 64.85% 77.21% 71.03% |
| K | | | | |
| Skills S1 | Analyze a problem to identify and define the computing requirements | Quizzes Assignments Lab Assignments/Tasks Lab Exam | 70% | 72.18% 76.79% 74.48% |

| | appropriate to its solution. | Mid TermsFinal ExamsProject | | |
|----|---|--|-----|--------------------------------|
| S2 | Design, implement, and evaluate complicated computer-based system, process component, or program to meet desired needs. | Quizzes Assignments Lab Assignments/Tasks Lab Exam Mid Terms Final Exams Project | 70% | 74.20% 77.21% 75.70% |
| S3 | Apply current technical concepts and practices in the core information technologies of human computer interaction, information management, programming, networking, web systems and technologies. | Quizzes Assignments Lab Assignments/Tasks Lab Exam Mid Terms Final Exams Project | 70% | 75.07% 77.33% 76.20% |
| S4 | Integrate IT-based solutions into the user environment effectively. | Quizzes Assignments Lab Assignments/Tasks Lab Exam Mid Terms Final Exams Project | 70% | 77.00% 77.33% 77.17% |
| S5 | Analyze user needs and apply existing state-of-the-art techniques, skills, and tools necessary for selection, creation, integration, evaluation, and administration of Computer-based systems. | Quizzes Assignments Lab Assignments/Tasks Lab Exam Mid Terms Final Exams Project | 70% | 73.21% 76.79% 75.00% |
| S6 | Function effectively as a member or leader of a team engaged in activities appropriate | Quizzes Assignments Lab Assignments/Tasks Individual and group activity | 70% | 74.81% 83.95% 79.38% |

| | to the Information Technology. | Lab ExamMid TermsFinal ExamsProject | | |
|-------|--|---|-----|--------------------------------|
| Value | es, autonomy, and respo | onsibility | | |
| V1 | Communicate effectively in a variety of professional contexts. | Student Presentations Viva/Oral Tests Lab Assignments Individual and group activities Project | 70% | 81.07% 81.88% 81.47% |
| V2 | Adhere to professional, ethical, legal, security, and social issues and their responsibilities. | Student Presentations Viva/Oral Tests Lab Assignments Individual and group activities Project | 70% | 80.08% 73.75% 76.92% |
| V3 | Analyze the local and global impact of computing on individuals, organization, and society. | Student Presentations Viva/Oral Tests Lab Assignments Individual and group activities Project | 70% | 74.21% 73.75% 73.98% |
| V4 | Recognize the need for and an ability to engage in continuing professional development | Student Presentations Viva/Oral Tests Lab Assignments Individual and group activities Project | 70% | 79.37% 73.75% 76.56% |

^{*}Attach a separate report on the program learning outcomes assessment results for male and female sections and each branch (**if any**).

Further details can be found in the following two documents provided in the same directory of this Annual Report

- PLO Cyclic analysis
- PLO analysis (last 4 years)

Strengths:

Direct Assessments

- Nearly all 13 PLOs have met the minimum threshold
- Students performed very well in the value-based PLOs





- The highest PLO scores were achieved by V1 (81.07%), V2(80.08%), and V4 (79.37%)
- The students in particular performed well in Graduation Projects and Elective courses
- In some course, students achieved significant percentages in CLOs of 80% and above

Indirect Assessments

- All PLOs were well above the threshold
- S6 achieved the highest percentage of 83.95%
- From 13 PLOs, 10 PLOs were above 75%

Aspects that need improvement with priorities:

Direct Assessments

- K3 PLOs was below the required threshold, and thus improvement needs to be made in this PLO
- As per our analysis some PLOs are mapped by very few courses. For example, K1 was mapped by 43 courses in comparison to S4 that was mapped by only 7 courses from a total of 66 courses taught in the academic year.
- The percentage in which courses are not meeting their respective CLOs needs to be lowered.
- In some courses, the CLOs percentage is extremely low. For example, the Operating Systems course taught in Term 2 achieved 14.38% in K1, 51.25% in K2, 28.13% in K3, 38.12% in S1, 65.44% in S3 and 68.02% in S6. While, S3 and S6 are still acceptable, but any attainment lower than 50% is quite alarming and special attention should be taken for a course during a semester if percentage is falling to such level.
- Gradually, the program should ensure that higher threshold are achieved but for the moment it should stick to 70. This can be improved by improving the quality of student intake or take timely action if CLO attainment if falling below the threshold during a semester.

Indirect Assessments

 Attention should be given to courses with courses with indirect assessment lower than the threshold such as Data Structures and Information Security Courses in Term 2 where all percentages were in the 60%.





2. Students Evaluation of Courses

| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| CCIT- 211 | Introduction to Computing | KLB | 20 | 95% | 4.0 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCCS- 212 | Programmin g (I) | KLB | 22 | 92.5% | 3.78 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 213 | Technical Writing | KLB | 20 | 100% | 4.6 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCCS- 221 | Programmin g (II) | KLB | 36 | 91% | 3.77 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCCS- 222 | Discrete Mathematics | KLB | 7 | 80% | 4.01 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 223 | Principles of Information Technologie s | KLB | 5 | 95% | 3.93 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCCS- 311 | Data Structures | KLB | 7 | 100% | 3.98 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 312 | Computer Architecture & Organization | KLB | 5 | 100% | 3.75 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 322 | Database | KLB | 17 | 100% | 4.27 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 323 | System Analysis & Design | KLB | 20 | 100% | 4.15 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 321 | Operating Systems | KLB | 10 | 100% | 4.21 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| CCIT- 414 | Software Engineering (I) | KLB | 18 | 100% | 3.5 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 412 | Human- Computer Interaction | KLB | 16 | 100% | 3.88 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 411 | Comp uter Networks | KLB | 13 | 100% | 3.99 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIS- 323 | Introduction To Software Project Management | KLB | 14 | 100% | 3.83 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 413 | IT Issues & Management | KLB | 11 | 100% | 4.02 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 424 | Software Design Patterns | KLB | 18 | 100% | 3.83 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 423 | Multimedia Technologie s | KLB | 10 | 100% | 3.7 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 422 | Advanced Programmin g | KLB | 9 | 100% | 3.62 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 421 | Information Security | KLB | 16 | 100% | 3.78 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 512 | Internet Applications | KLB | 6 | 55% | 4.1 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 511 | Senior Project 1 | KLB | 8 | 100% | 3.99 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|---|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| CCIT- 513 | Database Administratio n | KLB | 13 | 100% | 3.6 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIS- 512 | Professional Computing Issues | KLB | 18 | 97% | 3.82 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 522 | Needs Assessmen t & Technology Evaluation | KLB | 17 | 100% | 3.92 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 523 | Networks Administratio n | KLB | 22 | 100% | 3.64 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 426 | Database 2 | KLB | 15 | 100% | 3.9 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 529 | Selected *Topics in IT | KLB | 11 | 100% | 3.63 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 414 | Software Engineeri ng 1 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.80 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 414 | Software Engineeri ng 1 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 423 | multimedi a technolog y | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.97 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | multimedi a | | | | | Excellent – Maintain current instructional |
| CCIT- 423 | technolog y | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.57 | approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| 312 | Internetw | | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – |
| CCIT- 512 | ork Applicatio | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.93 | Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 431 | Summer training | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.13 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.30 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.13 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.23 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 517 | wireless data networks | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.30 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 517 | wireless data networks | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.40 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 425 | computer graphics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 3.63 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the | Percentag e of Participant | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| CCIT- 322 | Database s | КНВ | Course 60 | s 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 322 | Database s | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 425 | computer graphics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCCS- 222 | Discrete mathema tics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.97 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCCS- 222 | Discrete mathema tics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.97 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIS- 512 | Computer topics and issues | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 425 | computer graphics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.97 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIS- 323 | Introducti on to Software Project Managem ent | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIS- 323 | Introducti on to Software Project Managem ent | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 523 | Network Managem ent | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 523 | Network Managem ent | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 517 | wireless data networks | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 517 | wireless data networks | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours | | | Number of | Percentag | | Developmental |
|--------------|---|----------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| e Code | Course Title | Location | Students Who Evaluated the | e of Participant | Evaluatio n Results | Recommendatio ns |
| ESPE- 201 | Introducti on to Special Education | КНВ | Course 60 | s 100% | 3.33 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 322 | Database s | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 3.07 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 322 | Database s | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 2.87 | Below Average - revise content, enhance teaching methods, and provide additional student support to improve learning outcomes. |
| CCIT- | computer | КНВ | | | | Below Average - revise content, enhance teaching methods, and provide additional student support to improve learning outcomes. |
| CCIT- 411 | computer networks | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 2.67 | Below Average - revise content, enhance teaching methods, and provide additional student support to improve learning outcomes. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 2.33 | Below Average - revise content, enhance teaching methods, and provide additional student support to improve learning outcomes. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 3.20 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| BCIS- 221 | Principles of Managem ent Informati on Systems | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 3.27 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCCS- 222 | Discrete mathema tics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.00 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCCS- 222 | Discrete mathema tics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.00 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIS- 512 | Computer topics and issues | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.00 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 425 | computer graphics | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.00 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.03 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 428 | Software Engineeri ng 2 | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.00 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 322 | Database s | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.93 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 322 | Database s | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.70 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the | Percentag e of Participant | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| CCIT- 422 | Advanced program ming | КНВ | Course 60 | s 100% | 4.83 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 422 | Advanced program ming | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.93 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 424 | Software design models | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.87 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 424 | Software design models | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.90 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.63 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.80 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 522 | Needs and Technolo gy Assessme nt | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.87 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 522 | Needs and Technolo gy Assessme nt | КНВ | 60 | 100% | 4.97 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.70 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIS- 323 | Introducti on to Software Project Managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIS- 323 | Introducti on to Software Project Managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.58 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 413 | Informati on Technolo gy and Managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.61 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|---------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| CCIT- | Informati on Technolo gy and Managem | КНВ | | | | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| 413 CCIT- 421 | ent Informati on security | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 3.21 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 421 | Informati on security | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 424 | Software design models | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.00 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. Excellent – |
| CCIT- 424 | Software design models | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.58 | Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 512 | Internetw ork Applicatio ns | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.18 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 3.15 | Satisfactory – Review course structure and teaching methods |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |



| Cours e Code | Course Title | Location | Number of Students Who Evaluated the Course | Percentag e of Participant s | Evaluatio n Results | Developmental Recommendatio ns |
|--------------------|--|----------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| CCIT- 523 | Network Managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.06 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 523 | Network Managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.64 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 426 | Database s 2 | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.79 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 426 | Database s 2 | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 529 | Selected Topics in Informati on Technolo gy | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |
| CCIT- 513 | Database managem ent | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 4.45 | Good – Minor improvements can enhance quality. |
| CCIT- 427 | Data Network Design and Evaluatio n | КНВ | 27 | 100% | 5.00 | Excellent – Maintain current instructional approach. |

3. Students' Evaluation of Program Quality

| Evaluation Date: 16 th July 2024 | Number of Participants: 2805 | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Students Feedback | Program Response | | |





Strengths:

- Courses offered and their content
- Quality of teaching staff
- Course teaching environment
- Course learning outcomes

Areas of Improvement:

- Overall experience
- Assessment methods
- Difficulty of questions with respect to course

Suggestions for improvement:

- Better labs
- More trending courses

Overall, the students are quite stratified with he program offered where they rated it 4.0 (80%) on average in all categories out of 5.0. Among the evaluations, the students rated the courses offered and their content highly. This shows that the program still has demand in the market and the students are appreciations the content being offered in them. Moreover, the students rated the faculty members teaching the courses highly. Lastly, students shows appreciations for the different learning outcomes of courses. The program will try to ensure that this consistency is ensured as courses are improved or new courses are added to the program.

Among the improvements highlighted, the program will try to elevate the experience by providing improving existing facilities such as classrooms, projectors, labs, washrooms, restaurants, clubs/societies, learning management systems.

In the evaluation, it can be seen that more assessment techniques can be added to evaluate the students. Moreover, the difficulty of questions need to be addressed by ensure that enough time is given on topics and that difficulty of the questions are appropriate for the student at that level.

The students highlighted that existing labs to be improved. This concern is under our radar and ample efforts are being made to improve our existing labs.

The students also suggested for adding more courses based on current trends.

The department will note this concern and ensure that when developing a new IT plan it has new courses that will be useful for the students in the next 10-20 years.

A detail Survey analysis report is also provide for Program Quality Analysis





4. Scientific research and innovation during the reporting year

| Activities Implemented | Number (Khulais + Alkamil) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Published scientific research | 59 + 3 = 62 |
| Current research projects | 20 |
| Conferences organized by the program | 1 |
| Seminars held by the program | 42 |
| Conferences attendees | 200 |
| Seminars attendees | 630 |

Discussion and analysis of scientific research and innovation activities:

The department is giving decent time and putting decent effort in ensuring research is conducted at a decent level despite not having a PG program.

5. Community Partnership

| Activities Implemented | Brief Description* |
|---|---|
| Paper to electronic transformation | The importance of digital transformation and helping primary school teachers replace primitive paper methods and use technology in the third primary school in Khulais. |
| | It was in 26-02-1445. |
| Your examination evidence of your awareness | Breast cancer awareness, prevention and screening benefits. It was in $16\text{-}03\text{-}1445 - 25\text{-}03\text{-}1445$ |
| food basket | The volunteers collected and prepared a set of food baskets containing some basic needs for needy families and delivered them to them. It was in 11-04-1445. |
| Intimacy and giving | Highlighting the role of society in serving the elderly by visiting the nursing home in Al Rehab. It was in 16-04-1445. |
| A touch of giving | Highlighting the role of society in serving people with special needs by visiting the university's comprehensive rehabilitation center. It was in 19-04-1445. |
| Thank you initiative | Highlighting the role of society in appreciating the efforts of security personnel in the Khulais branch colleges. It was in 20-04-1445 |
| Thank you for your generosity | Volunteers prepared and served breakfast to female workers at the Jeddah University branch in Khulais. It was in 24-07-1445 |
| Ramadan basket | Highlighting the role of society in solidarity for the workers of the Khulais branch. It was in 01-09-1445. |
| Your giving is good | Highlighting the role of society in solidarity by preparing Ramadan bags. It was in 18-09-1445 |
| Ramadan is good | Volunteers prepared meals and distributed them to fasting people in different places in Jeddah and Khulais governorates during the holy month of Ramadan for the year 1445 AH. It was in 15-09-1445 |
| smile | Establishing the noble prophetic guidance in the souls of college students. It was in 27-10-1445. |



| We read to learn | Highlighting the role of reading in expanding and enriching female students' scientific, cultural, linguistic and cognitive knowledge through reading stories of the prophets and scientific books in general. It was 26-10-1445 |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Community e-learning and technology | Benefiting high school students from modern technology. It was in $28-10-1445$ |
| Make your own bouquet | Teaching students the art of decoration in making flower bouquets. It was in $21-10-1445$ |
| | |

^{*} including timing of implementation, number of participants, and outcomes.

Comment on community partnership activities**

Decent number of activities having been conducted to meet the college and program objective of instilling value based learning among students

6. Other Evaluation (if any)

(e.g., independent reviewer, program advisory committee, and stakeholders (e.g., faculty members, alumni, and employers)

| Evaluation method: Survey Form Date: 18 th Se | | p 2023 | Number of Participants: 2 | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Summary of Evaluator Revie | w | | Program Response | | |
| Strengths: The students are motivated and are eager to work The students have the required skillset for the job They have the research and communication skills | | | The skills offered in the program are sufficient, and the graduates produced are motivated to working in the industry. | | |
| Points for Improvements: More emphasis should be given towards leadership skills Moreo emphasis should be given towards | | | This was highlighted in the PLO section as well that more tasks should be assigned towards Value based assessment that improves leadership and teamwork qualities | | |
| teamwork basis assignments Suggestions for development: Introduce more specialties within the program Produce even higher quality graduates | | More specialization need to be offered by the program to meet the market demands. Moreover, the quality level of graduates may be improve to make our graduates standout from the competition. | | | |

^{*} Attach independent reviewer's report and stakeholders' survey reports (if any).

Survey analysis from Alumni and Employer's Satisfaction is provided



^{**} including overall evaluation of the program's performance in these activities (if any).



C. Program Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Including the key performance indicators required by the NCAAA.

| | the key performance in | Targete | | Internal Benchmar | | |
|--------------|---|------------|--------|------------------------|---|------------|
| No | KPI | d Value | Actual | k (CS from CCSE UJ) | Analysis | New Target |
| KPI- P-01 | Students' Evaluation of quality of learning experience in the program | 4.0% | 3.87 | 3.66 | Students evaluation of the program is above 75% (>3.5) but our target is to achieve 4.0% and we will ensure to address the shortcoming s to improve the rating | 4.0% |
| KPI- P-02 | Students' Evaluation of quality of learning experience in the program | 4.50 | 3.95 | 4.16 | While the rating is above average, year it is much lower than the program's target. Special attention needs to be given to convey learning outcomes to students and ensure that | 4.50 |



| | | | | | students meet them | |
|--------------|---|------|--------|--------|---|------|
| KPI- P-03 | Completion rate | 90% | 52.63% | 96.50% | The program falls short of the target. This rate is lower in female students in particular. The students should be motivated and special sessions should be held to encourage them to complete their program. | 90% |
| KPI- P-04 | First-year students retention rate | 100% | 94.46 | 96.50% | While the target was achieved for male students, the female retention rate is lower. Special sessions should be held for them | 100% |
| KPI- P-05 | Students' performance in the professional | N/A | N/A | N/A | Data not available | N/A |

| | and/or national examinations | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------|--------|-----|---|------|
| KPI-P-06 A | Graduates' employability. | 100% | 35.05% | 70% | While it is objective of every program to ensure that all of its students are employed right away, this may not always be the case. More in depth analysis of why this is the case should be done to learn whether students are finding difficulty in finding jobs, running their own family business, etc. | 100% |
| KPI- P-06 B | postgraduate programs | 50% | 4.35% | 0% | The general trend among IT students is towards getting employed in the market | 50% |

| KPI- P-07 | | | | | instead of pursuing higher studies. This percentage is especially lower in Male students. One of the ways this program can be addressed is by introducing an IT Masters and PhD program. The employers shows satisfaction | |
|--------------|--|----|----|----|---|----|
| | Employers' evaluation of the program graduates proficiency | 4 | 2 | 3 | with our graduates. We should make sure to maintain this percentage and improve it in the upcoming years | 4 |
| KPI- P-08 | Ratio of students to teaching staff | 25 | 21 | 19 | This ratio is much higher and it is encourage | 25 |

| KPI- | | | | | that the program recruit more faculty members to lower this ratio which will not only help in improving teaching quality but is also required by various accreditatio n bodies This rate is | |
|--------------|--|------|--------|-----|---|-----|
| P-09 | Percentage of publications of faculty members | 80% | 44.75% | 20% | extremely good for male faculty members. The rate can be improved for female faculty members by recruiting more PhD faculty into their program | 60% |
| KPI- P-10 | Rate of published research per faculty member | 3.15 | 1.69 | .33 | Again this percentage is lower in females as a high percentage | 2.5 |

| | | | | | of their faculty members are MS qualified. To improve research, more PhD female faculty members should be recruited | |
|--------------|---|-----|--------|--------|---|-----|
| KPI- P-11 | Citations rate in refereed journals per faculty member | 65% | 44.25% | 44.33% | The rate is extremely good for the program and should be maintained for the upcoming years | 50% |
| | | | | | | |

Comments on the Program KPIs and Benchmarks results:

Detail analysis are done for each College separately in the evidences provided with the Annual Report

Comments on the Program KPIs and Benchmarks results:

Comments for each KPIs are given in the table above





D. Challenges and difficulties encountered by the program (if any)

| Teaching | The CLOs should be more evenly distributed among different PLOs. Currently some PLOs have very few courses mapped to them A mechanism should be in place to detect courses where the CLO attainment is well below the threshold so corrective action can be taken place in time |
|-------------------------|---|
| Assessment | More courses should be mapped to Value based assessments More leadership and teamwork based assessments should be conducted More emphasis should be given towards lab work and lab exam based assessments Introduce more assessment types as per new teaching methodologies |
| Guidance and counseling | Students should be motivated so that they can be retained in the program Students should be motivated if they are facing difficulties in the program by providing extra coaching and teaching sessions |
| Learning Resources | Learning resources for technology based courses should be review annual (such as Multimedia technologies, Selected topics in IT, Computer Graphics, Computer Networks, Wireless Networks, Computer Organization and Architecture). Students are more motivated towards technologies that are being used nowadays or that are to be used in the future in comparison to outdated technologies. |
| Faculty | More faculty may be recruited to improve faculty/student ratio |
| Research Activities | More PhD female faculty should be recruited to improve research and citation count |
| Others | Teaching facilities provided on campus may be improved. This includes but is not limited to better classrooms, labs, restaurants, sports faculties, club faculties, stationary/printing faculties, common rooms, etc. |





E. Program Development Plan

| No. | Priorities for Improvement | Actions | Action Responsibility |
|-----|---|---|--------------------------|
| 1 | Improve mapping between Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs). | Review courses to ensure an even distribution of CLOs among PLOs Increase the number of courses mapped to value-based assessments, particularly in areas like leadership and teamwork. | Program Director |
| 2 | Improve retention rates, particularly among female students. | Implement motivational sessions and mentorship programs aimed at improving student retention Provide guidance to students facing challenges | Student Advisors |
| 3 | Enhance on-campus resources and lab facilities | Upgrade classroom technologies, laboratories, and student common areas Review and update learning resources for technology-based courses, focusing on modern tools and technologies | Dean of the College |
| 4 | Diversify assessment techniques to improve student performance | Introduce new types of assessments in line with modern teaching methodologies, focusing more on lab work and project-based assessments | Course Coordinators |

| | 5 | Increase student participation in community partnership projects and improve overall program satisfaction | Continue to engage students in value-based community projects and initiatives like those mentioned in the report (e.g., breast cancer awareness, food baskets Encourage feedback from students on program content and extracurricular opportunities to improve program satisfaction rates | Head of Department |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
|--|---|---|--|--------------------|

- Attach any unachieved improvement plans from the previous report.
- The annual program report needs to be discussed in the department council

F. Approval of Annual Program Report

| COUNCIL / COMMITTEE | DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COUNCIL |
|---------------------|--|
| REFERENCE NO. | 47948 |
| DATE: | OCTOBER 22, 2024 |

